Case 4:24-cr-00233JANVED SDOTEM DESTRIZET GRENTO5/07/24 Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. 4:24-Cr-00233-AMO
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Inut-Ovidin Sopi-la	
Defendant(s).	
Trial Act from $5-7-29$ to $6-10-2$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the public	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § asses this continuance on the following factor(s):747 - 7 2024
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice district court OAKLAND OFFICE
defendants, the nature of the portion or law, that it is unreasonable to expense.	or consecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of decount the exercise of	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ue diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case communities for the scheduled case communities of the scheduled case communities of the scheduled case communities and scheduled case communities of the scheduled case case and	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the partie the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt art sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first es' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 5-7-24	/ //mer
	DONNA M. RYU
	United States Magistrate Judge
The state of the s	
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
	·